

Chapter 2 - Evolution of Major PLs

We emphasize

- the contribution of each language
 - focus on new features that most influenced subsequent languages
- and the motivation for its development

See Figure 2.1

Chapter contains listings of complete example programs, each in a different language.

Chapter 2

Programming Languages

1

1. Plankalkül (1945)

- **Never implemented**
- **Primitive (scalar) data types**
 - single bit, integer and 2's complement floating-point type
- **Composite (structured) data types**
 - arrays, records (and records of records)
- **Iterative (for), out of loop jump and selection (if) statements**
- **Each statement consisted of 2 or 3 lines of code:**
 $A(7) := 5 * B(6)$ ← Optional line

```
| 5 * B => A
V | 6 7 (subscripts)
S | 1.n 1.n (data types)
```

Chapter 2

Programming Languages

2

2. Minimal Hardware Programming: Pseudocodes (1949)

Early computers of 1940s & 1950s:

- slow, unreliable, and expensive
- having extremely small memories
- difficult to program due to lack of supporting sw.

Programming was done in **machine code**, which is tedious and error-prone:

- poor readability: numeric codes are used to specify instrs, e.g., 14 for ADD operation
- poor modifiability: absolute addressing (*study the example*)
- Expression coding was tedious
- Machine deficiencies--no indexing or fl. pt.

Chapter 2

Programming Languages

3

2.1 Short Code (1949)

- used to program UNIVAC I computer:
- 72 bit words, grouped as 12 six-bit bytes.
- Expressions were coded, left to right
- Variables, or memory locations, were named with byte-pair codes:

```
X0 = SQRT(ABS(Y0))
??? ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓
00 X0 03 20 06 Y0
```

- was implemented with a pure interpreter (simpler but 50 times slower than machine code)

Chapter 2

Programming Languages

4

2.3 Speedcoding (1954)

- **Developed for the IBM 701**
- **Interpreter converted the 701 to a virtual three-address f-p calculator**
 - pseudoinstructions for + - * / sqrt, since, atan, exp, log
- **Conditional and unconditional branching**
- **Input/output conversions**
- **Autoincrement registers for array access(allowed matrix multiplication in 12 instructions)**
- **But**
 - Slow!
 - Only 700 words left for user program

Chapter 2

Programming Languages

5

2.3 The UNIVAC "Compiling" System (1954)

- Compiling system expanded a pseudocode into machine code in the same way as macros are expanded into assembly language.
 - Primitive but much shorter source codes
- ### 2.4 Related Work
- Cambridge Un. - using blocks of relocatable addresses to solve the problem of absolute addresses
 - This idea was extended to design an **assembly language** (*no impact of AIs on HLLs*) that could combine chosen subroutines and allocate storage.

Chapter 2

Programming Languages

6

FORTRAN: The IBM FORMula TRANslating System (1957)

FORTRAN 0 & IBM 704 Machine

- first computer with index registers and f-p hw
 - So far all f-p ops had to be simulated in software which made interpretation an acceptable alternative to machine code execution (interp. cost used to be hidden by the high cost of f-p calcs)
- FORTRAN is credited with being the first compiled HLL. [*no consensus though!*]
 - The Laning and Zierler system (1954) of MIT was the first algebraic translation system: it translated arith. expressions, used function calls for math. functions, and included subscripted var. references.

Chapter 2

Programming Languages

7

FORTRAN I (1956)

- Input/output formatting
- var. names of up to six characters (from 2 in v.0)
- user-defined subroutines(no separate compilation)
- IF selection statement,post-test counting DO loops
- Arithmetic IF (3-way branch) instead of logical IF!!
- No data typing (I to N: integer, otherwise: f-p)
- Compiler released in April 1957, after 18 worker/years of effort
- Programs larger than 400 lines rarely compiled correctly, mainly due to poor reliability of the 704
- Code was very fast
- Quickly became widely used

Chapter 2

Programming Languages

8

Environment in which FORTRAN was developed:

- Computers were small and unreliable
- Applications were scientific
- No programming methodology or tools
- Machine efficiency was most important

FORTRAN II (1958)

- Independent compilation of subroutines + linkers
- Fix the bugs

FORTRAN IV (1960-62)

- Explicit type declarations
- Logical selection statement
- Subprogram names could be parameters
- ANSI standard in 1966

Chapter 2

Programming Languages

9

FORTRAN 77 (1978)

- Character string handling
- Logical loop control statement
- IF-THEN-ELSE statement

FORTRAN 90 (1990)

- Modules- PRIVATE or PUBLIC data and subprograms
- Built-in array operations: SUM, MATMUL, etc.
- Dynamic arrays: ALLOCATABLE
- Pointers
- Recursion - recursive procedures
- CASE multiple selection statement
- Parameter type checking

Chapter 2

Programming Languages

10

ALGOL - ALGOrithmic Language

ALGOL 58 (1958)

- Environment of development:
 - FORTRAN had (barely) arrived for IBM 70x
 - Many other languages were being developed, all for specific machines
 - No portable language; all were machine-dependent
 - No universal language for communicating algorithms
 - FORTRAN could not become a universal language because at the time it was solely owned by IBM.

Chapter 2

Programming Languages

11

Goals of the Language

- American ACM and European GAMM determined the goals: [*after endless discussions*]
 - Close to mathematical notation (good for sci.prog.)
 - Good for describing algorithms
 - Must be translatable to machine code

Chapter 2

Programming Languages

12

ALGOL 58 Features

- **Concept of type was formalized**
 - vars. not f-p numbers required explicit declaration
- Names could have any length
- **Arrays could have any number of subscripts**
- Parameters were separated by mode (in & out)
- **Subscripts were placed in brackets**
- Compound statements (begin ... end)
- **Semicolon as a statement separator**
- Assignment operator was :=
- if **had an else-if clause**
- a for statement for counting loops
- a **do statement for subprogram calls.**

Chapter 2

Programming Languages

13

ALGOL 60 (1960)

- **New Features:**
 - Block structure (local scope)
 - Two parameter passing methods: by value/by name
 - Subprogram recursion
 - Stack-dynamic arrays
 - Still no i/o and no string handling -subscript range is specified by vars during execution.
- **Successes:**
 - It was the standard way to publish algorithms for over 20 years
 - All subsequent imperative langs are based on it
 - First machine-independent language
 - First lang. whose syntax was formally defined (BNF)

Chapter 2

Programming Languages

14

- **Failure:**
 - Never widely used, especially in U.S
 - **Reasons:**
 - No i/o and the character set made programs non-portable
 - Too flexible--hard and inefficient to implement
 - Entrenchment of FORTRAN
 - Formal syntax description
 - Lack of support of IBM

Chapter 2

Programming Languages

15

ALGOL 68 (1968)

- **follows ALGOL 60, but it is not a superset of it.**
- **Design is based on the concept of orthogonality:** a few primitive types and structures and allow the user to combine those primitives into a large number of different structures.
- **Contributions:**
 - User-defined data types (structures)
 - Reference types
 - Dynamic arrays (called flex arrays)
- **Comments:**
 - Had even less usage than ALGOL 60
 - Had strong influence on subsequent languages, especially Pascal, C, C++, PL/I and Ada.

Chapter 2

Programming Languages

16

Pascal (1971)

- **Designed by Wirth, who quit the ALGOL 68 committee (didn't like the direction of that work)**
- **Designed for teaching structured programming**
- **Small, simple, nothing really new**
- **Still the most widely used language for teaching programming in colleges (but use is shrinking)**

Weaknesses:

- **A subprogram can not take an array of variable length as argument**
- **Lack of any separate compilation capability**

Chapter 2

Programming Languages

17

C (1972)

- **Designed for systems programming (at Bell Labs by Dennis Richie)**
- **Evolved primarily from B, but also ALGOL 68**
 - for and switch statements
 - assignment operators
 - treatment of pointers
- **Powerful set of operators: high expressiveness**
- **But poor type checking: esp. function parameters**
- **Too flexible & very insecure**
- **Initially spread through UNIX: inexpensive or free compiler support**

Chapter 2

Programming Languages

18

C++ (C with Classes) (1985)

- **Developed at Bell Labs by Stroustrup**
- **C with Classes(1983): Evolved from C & SIMULA 67:**
 - function parameter type checking and conversion
 - classes
 - derived classes
 - public/private access control of inherited components
 - constructor and destructor functions
 - friend classes
- **And later in 1981:**
 - inline functions
 - default parameters
 - overloading of assignment operator

Chapter 2

Programming Languages

19

And later in 1984: (It has become C++)

- virtual functions
- function name and operator overloading
- reference types
- **And later** multiple inheritance, abstract classes, type-safe linkage.
- **Downward compatible with C**
- **Also has exception handling**
- **A large and complex language, in part because it supports both procedural and OO programming**
- **Rapidly grew in popularity, along with OOP**
- **ANSI standard approved in November, 1997**

Chapter 2

Programming Languages

20

Java (1995)

- **Developed at Sun in the early 1990s**
- **Based on C++**
- **Significantly simplified**
- **Supports *only* OOP**
- **Has references, but not pointers**
- **Includes support for applets and a form of concurrency**

Chapter 2

Programming Languages

21