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## RNA-RNA Interactions

- Two RNA molecules form an RNA-RNA complex through forming base pairs between each other
- The RNA molecules also have internal base pairs
- RNAi: RNA interference (Nobel 2006)
- miRNA: microRNAs (21-22 bases)
- Important for RNA function
- Gene silencing
- Developmental stage
- Non-coding RNA that deactivates/activates another RNA: antisense RNA


## Breakthrough of the year



Science, 20 December 2002

## Central dogma and RNAi



## Central dogma and RNAi



## DNA Polymerase



## Antisense RNA

## Genomic or Plasmid DNA

"Sense" RNA

"Antisense" RNA

Joint structure, and gene-knockout

## Gene silencing: CopT-CopA



## Gene silencing: CopT-CopA



## CopA-CopT Complex in 3D



## RNAi: Repression

ncRNA Oxys

$5^{\prime}$ aguUagucanu aúacaccgaúggaca $3^{\prime}$
fhlA
mRNA

5' aguugucaadauacacceagcgaca $3^{\prime}$ fhlA

## OxyS-fhlA Interaction



## RNAi: Activation



Repoila et al., Mol. Microbiol, 2003

## RNA based drugs?

- RNAi is shown to effectively turn off the mutated Fibulin 5 gene responsible for wet macular generation (a disease that effects 30 million elderly people in the world).
- The siRNA called Cand5 (by Acuity Pharmaceuticals) which targets the mutated Fibulin 5 gene can be directly injected into a patient's eye - can be used as a drug. FDA approval expected.
- Can revolutionize drug design: all currently used drugs are small molecules.
- Delivery and unwanted interactions are key problems.


## RNA-RNA interaction prediction

- The algorithms aim to capture the joint secondary structure of interacting RNA pairs by computing the minimum total free energy
- Alkan et al, RECOMB 2005:
- Developed a model for capturing the 3-D structure of the kissing complexes and an approximation to the thermodynamic parameters
- Proved NP-hardness under the presence of zig-zags, internal or external pseudoknots
- $O\left(n^{3} m^{3}\right)$ time algorithm for determining the optimal structure and its free energy


## RNA-RNA interaction prediction

RNA-RNA Interaction Prediction Problem (RIPP): Given two RNA sequences $S$ and $R$ (e.g. an antisense RNA and its target), find the joint structure formed by these RNA molecules with the minimum free energy.

The general problem is NP-hard

## Assumptions

No pseudoknots in either S or R.


No external pseudoknots between $S$ and $R$.


No zigzags are allowed.


## PairFold



- Concatenate $S$ and $R$; and predict secondary structure as if it is a single sequence
- No kissing hairpins; as they will be same with a pseudoknot
- $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{3}\right)$ time and $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$ space


## NUPACK

- Similar to PairFold
- Concatenate $S$ and $R$, calculate folding
- Consider special cases of pseudoknots
- No kissing hairpins
- $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{4}\right)$ running time



## Others

- Avoid intramolecular base pairing
- No internal structure
- RNAcofold: Bernhart et al., Alg Mol Biol, 2006
- RNAhybrid: Rehmsmeier et al, 2004
- UNAfold: Markham et al., 2008
- Predict binding site (one only)
- RNAup (Muckstein et al., 2008)
- intaRNA (Busch et al., 2008)


## Both internal \& intramolecular

- IRIS: Pervouchine et al., 2004
- inteRNA: Alkan et al., 2005
- Grammatical approach: Kato et al., 2009
- All computationally expensive
- $O\left(n^{6}\right)$ time and $O\left(n^{4}\right)$ space

Alkan, Karakoç, et al., RECOMB 2005 INTERNA

# inteRNA: Basepair Energy Model 

- Basepair Energy Model
- Similar to Nussinov's RNA folding
- Tries to maximize number of base pairs
- $O\left(n^{3} m^{3}\right)$ time and $O\left(n^{2} m^{2}\right)$ space


## Basepair energy model: CopA+CopT

 aaacccc gauaaucuucuucaacuungôc aguacgaaaagautuaco $\widehat{g g g c c c a c}$

Prediction
alaccocgauaucuucuucaaculuggcgaguacgaaaagautuaccggggccoc

UUUUggggcuauuagaagaaguugaaaccgcucaugcuuuucuaauggccccoggug

Known

## Basepair energy model: OxyS+fhlA



## inteRNA: Stacked Pair Energy Model

- Stacked Pair Energy Model
- Based on the free energies of stacked pairs of nucleotides (mfold, RNAfold, etc.)
- "Stacking pairs" model favors forming the same type of bonding in two adjacent base pairs, thus considers geometrical constraints,
- $O\left(m^{3} n^{3}\right)$ time and $O\left(m^{2} n^{2}\right)$ space


## Stacked Pair Energy Model for RIPP



## Stacked Pair Energy Model for RIPP



## Stacked Pair Energy Model for RIPP


uuuggggcuauuagaagaaguugaaaccgcucaugcuuuucuaauggccccgggug


Liliuggggcuauuagaagaaguugaaaccgcucaugcuuuucuaauggccccgggug

## Stacked Pair Energy Model for RIPP



## Loop Energy Model for RIPP

- Observation: Interactions are in the form of kissing hairpins, and original RNAs fold before they interact
- Based on free energies of structural elements.
- Preprocessing step computes the single strand folding of the two RNAs, and extracts independent subsequence information,
- Possible interactions between the independent subsequences are computed via stacked pair energy model,
- Run time is reduced to $O\left(n m \kappa^{4}+n^{2} m^{2} / \kappa^{4}\right)$.


## Independent subsequences

- Independent Subsequence $I S_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})$ of an RNA sequence $R$ is a subsequence of $R$ that has no interaction with the rest of $R$. $I S_{R}(i, j)$ satisfies:
$-R[i]$ is bonded with $R[j]$,
- $\mathrm{j}-\mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{K}$ for some user specified parameter K ,
- There exists no $i^{\prime}<i$ and $j^{\prime}>j$ such that $R\left[i^{\prime}\right]$ is bonded with $R\left[j^{\prime}\right]$ and $j^{\prime}-i^{\prime} \leq K$.


## Loop Energy Model for RIPP



R


Initial folding of S and R

## Loop Energy Model for RIPP



Independent subsequences determined

## Loop Energy Model for RIPP



Interactions between independent subsequences

## Loop Energy Model for RIPP


uuuggggcuauuagaagaaguugaaaccgcucaugcuuuucuaauggccocggguig

## Prediction

aaaccccgauaaucuucuucaaculuggc gaguacgaaaagauuaccggggcccac

UUUVggggcuaunagaaOaaguugaaaccgc cucaugcuuuucuaauggccccgggug

## Loop Energy Model for RIPP



Prediction


## Target Search



Interaction Prediction

## Good Hit



$$
\begin{gathered}
l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{3}, l_{4}>\xi \\
d_{1} \leq(1+\epsilon) \cdot d_{2}+\delta \text { if } d_{1} \geq d_{2} ;(\epsilon<1 \text { and } \delta>0) .
\end{gathered}
$$

www.bioalgorithms.info PROTEINS

## Proteins

- Building blocks of the cells
- Metabolism depends on proteins
- Enzymes
- DNA polymerase, RNA polymerase, methyl transferase, etc.
- Hormones
- Primary structure made up of amino acids
- $|\Sigma|=20$
- 3D structure is important for function


## Translation

- The process of going from RNA to polypeptide.
- Three base pairs of RNA (called a codon) correspond to one amino acid based on a fixed table.
- Always starts with Methionine and ends with a stop codon

|  |  |  | SECON | POSITIO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | U | C | A | G |  |
|  | U | phenylalanine | serine | tyrosine | cysteine | U |
|  |  | leucine |  | stop | stop | A |
|  |  |  |  | stop | tryptophan | G |
|  | C | leucine | proline | histidine | arginine | U |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | C |
|  |  |  |  | glutamine |  | A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | G |
|  | A | isoleucine | threonine | asparagine | serine | U |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | C |
|  |  |  |  | lysine | arginine | A |
|  |  | * methionine |  |  |  | G |
|  | G | valine | alanine | aspartic | glycine | U |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | C |
|  |  |  |  | glutamic acid |  | A |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | G |

## Translation, continued

- Catalyzed by Ribosome
- Using two different sites, the Ribosome continually binds tRNA, joins the amino acids together and moves to the next location along the mRNA
- ~10 codons/second, but multiple translations

can occur simultaneously


## Polypeptide v. Protein

- A protein is a polypeptide, however to understand the function of a protein given only the polypeptide sequence is a very difficult problem.
- Protein folding an open problem. The 3D structure depends on many variables.
- Current approaches often work by looking at the structure of homologous (similar) proteins.
- Improper folding of a protein is believed to be the cause of mad cow disease.


## PROTEIN SEQUENCING

## Masses of Amino Acid Residues



Aspartate
$133.1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

Leucine $131.17 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

## AA masses

Small


Glycine (Gly, G) MW: 57.05


Alanine (Ala, A)
MW: 71.09

Nucleophilic


Serine (Ser, S) MW: 87.08, $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}} \sim 16$


Leucine (Leu, L) MW: 113.16


Isoleucine (lle, I) MW: 113.16


Threonine (Thr, T) MW: 101.11, $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}} \sim 16$


Methionine (Met, M) MW: 131.19

Acidic


Aspartic Acid (Asp, D) MW: 115.09, $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=3.9$

ysine (Lys, K) MW: 128.17, $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=10.79$


Cysteine (Cys, C) MW: 103.15, $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=8.35$


Proline (Pro, P) MW: 97.12


Glutamic Acid (Glu, E) MW: 129.12, $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=4.07$
 Arginine (Arg, R)
MW: $156.19, \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=12.48$

## Protein Backbone



## Peptide Fragmentation

Collision Induced Dissociation


- Peptides tend to fragment along the backbone.
- Fragments can also loose neutral chemical groups like $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

Breaking Protein into Peptides and Peptides into Fragment Ions

- Proteases, e.g. trypsin, break protein into peptides.
- A Tandem Mass Spectrometer further breaks the peptides down into fragment ions and measures the mass of each piece.
- Mass Spectrometer accelerates the fragmented ions; heavier ions accelerate slower than lighter ones.
- Mass Spectrometer measure mass/charge ratio of an ion.

N - and C-terminal Peptides C $\square \backsim N A$


## Terminal peptides and ion types

Peptide


Mass (D) $57+97+147+114=415$

Peptide


Mass (D) $57+97+147+114-18=397$

N - and C-terminal Peptides


N - and C-terminal Peptides


# N - and C-terminal Peptides 

## 486

## N - and C-terminal Peptides

Reconstruct peptide from the set of masses of fragment ions

## Peptide Fragmentation



Mass Spectra


- The peaks in the mass spectrum:
$\square$ Prefix and Suffix Fragments.
$\square$ Fragments with neutral losses $\left(-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O},-\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)$
$\square$ Noise and missing peaks.


## Protein Identification with MS/MS



## Tandem Mass-Spectrometry



## Breaking Proteins into Peptides


protein
peptides

## Mass Spectrometry

Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption/Ionization (MALDI)


Figure 2. The soft laser desorption process.

From lectures by Vineet Bafna (UCSD)

## Tandem Mass Spectrometry




Ion Source


MS/MS

Protein Identification by Tandem Mass Spectrometry


MS/MS instrument


Database search
-Sequest
de Novo interpretation


-Sherenga

## Tandem Mass Spectrum

- Tandem Mass Spectrometry (MS/MS): mainly generates partial N - and C-terminal peptides
- Spectrum consists of different ion types because peptides can be broken in several places.
- Chemical noise often complicates the spectrum.
- Represented in 2-D: mass/charge axis vs. intensity axis


## De Novo vs. Database Search



## De Novo vs. Database Search: A Paradox

- The database of all peptides is huge $\approx O\left(20^{n}\right)$.
- The database of all known peptides is much smaller $\approx$ $\mathrm{O}\left(10^{8}\right)$.
- However, de novo algorithms can be much faster, even though their search space is much larger!
- A database search scans all peptides in the database of all known peptides search space to find best one.
- De novo eliminates the need to scan database of all peptides by modeling the problem as a graph search.


## De novo Peptide Sequencing



## Building Spectrum Graph

- How to create vertices (from masses)
- How to create edges (from mass differences)
- How to score paths
- How to find best path



## noise



MS/MS Spectrum


Some Mass Differences between Peaks
Correspond to Amino Acids


## Peptide Sequencing Problem

Goal: Find a peptide with maximal match between an experimental and theoretical spectrum.
Input:

- $S$ : experimental spectrum
- $\Delta$ : set of possible ion types
a m: parent mass
Output:
- P: peptide with mass $m$, whose theoretical spectrum matches the experimental $S$ spectrum the best


## Ion Types

- Some masses correspond to fragment ions, others are just random noise
- Knowing ion types $\Delta=\left\{\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, \ldots, \delta_{k}\right\}$ lets us distinguish fragment ions from noise
- A $\delta$-ion of an N-terminal partial peptide $P_{i}$ is a modification of $P_{i}$ that has mass $m_{i}-\delta$
- We can learn ion types $\delta_{i}$ and their probabilities $q_{i}$ by analyzing a large test sample of annotated spectra.


## Example of Ion Type

- $\Delta=\left\{\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, \ldots, \delta_{k}\right\}$
- Ion types

$$
\left\{b, b-\mathrm{NH}_{3}, b-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}
$$

## correspond to

$$
\Delta=\{0,17,18\}
$$

*Note: In reality the $\delta$ value of ion type $b$ is -1 but we will "hide" it for the sake of simplicity

## Vertices of Spectrum Graph

- Masses of potential N-terminal peptides
- Vertices are generated by reverse shifts corresponding to ion types

$$
\Delta=\left\{\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, \ldots, \delta_{k}\right\}
$$

- Every N-terminal peptide can generate up to $k$ ions

$$
m-\delta_{1}, m-\delta_{2}, \ldots, m-\delta_{k}
$$

- Every mass $s$ in an MS/MS spectrum generates $k$ vertices

$$
V(s)=\left\{s+\delta_{1}, s+\delta_{2}, \ldots, s+\delta_{k}\right\}
$$

corresponding to potential N -terminal peptides

- Vertices of the spectrum graph:
$\{$ initial vertex $\} \cup V\left(s_{1}\right) \cup V\left(s_{2}\right) \cup \ldots \cup V\left(s_{m}\right) \cup\{$ terminal vertex $\}$

Reverse Shifts


Shift in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
Shift in $\mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O}+\mathrm{NH}_{3}$

## Edges of Spectrum Graph

- Two vertices with mass difference
corresponding to an amino acid $A$ :
- Connect with an edge labeled by $A$
- Gap edges for di- and tri-peptides


## Paths

- Path in the labeled graph spell out amino acid sequences
- There are many paths, how to find the correct one?
- We need scoring to evaluate paths


## Path Score

- $p(\boldsymbol{P}, \boldsymbol{S})=$ probability that peptide $\boldsymbol{P}$ produces spectrum $\boldsymbol{S}=\left\{s_{l}, s_{2}, \ldots s_{q}\right\}$
- $p(\boldsymbol{P}, s)=$ the probability that peptide $P$ generates a peak $s$
- Scoring = computing probabilities
- $p(\boldsymbol{P}, \boldsymbol{S})=\pi_{s e S} p(\boldsymbol{P}, s)$


## Peak Score

- For a position $\boldsymbol{t}$ that represents ion type $d_{j}$ :

$$
p\left(\boldsymbol{P}, s_{t}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
q_{j}, \text { if peak is generated at } t \\
1-q_{j}, \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Peak Score (cont'd)

- For a position $t$ that is not associated with an ion type:

$$
p_{R}\left(\boldsymbol{P}, s_{t}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
q_{R}, \text { if peak is generated at } \boldsymbol{t} \\
1-q_{R}, \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

- $q_{R}=$ the probability of a noisy peak that does not correspond to any ion type


## Finding Optimal Paths in the Spectrum Graph

- For a given MS/MS spectrum $\boldsymbol{S}$, find a peptide $\boldsymbol{P}$ ' maximizing $p(\boldsymbol{P}, \boldsymbol{S})$ over all possible peptides $P$ :

$$
p\left(P^{\prime}, S\right)=\operatorname{nax}_{P} p(P, S)
$$

- Peptides = paths in the spectrum graph
- $\boldsymbol{P}^{\prime}=$ the optimal path in the spectrum graph

